## PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064

TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000

LLOYD K. GARRISON (1946-1991) RANDOLPH E. PAUL (1946-1956) SIMON H. RIFKIND (1950-1995) LOUIS S. WEISS (1927-1950) JOHN F. WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(212) 373-3191

WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(212) 492-0191

WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

mflumenbaum@paulweiss.com

Via ECF

500 Pearl St.

February 17, 2022

The Honorable Analisa Torres United States District Court Southern District of New York

New York, NY 10007-1312

UNIT 5201, FORTUNE FINANCIAL CENTER 5 DONGSANHUAN ZHONGLU CHAOYANG DISTRICT, BEIJING 100020, CHINA TELEPHONE (86-10) 5828-6300

> SUITES 3601 – 3606 & 3610 36/F, GLOUCESTER TOWER THE LANDMARK 15 QUEEN'S ROAD, CENTRAL HONG KONG TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

ALDER CASTLE
10 NOBLE STREET
LONDON EC2V 7JU, UNITED KINGDOM
TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600

535 MISSION STREET, 24TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TELEPHONE (628) 432-5100

FUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING 2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011, JAPAN TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101

TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE 77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 3100 PO. BOX 226 TORONTO, ONTARIO M5K 1J3 TELEPHONE (416) 504-0520

> 2001 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1047 TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300

500 DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200 POST OFFICE BOX 32 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0032 TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410 MATTHEW W. ABBOTT
EDWARD T. ACKERMAN
JACOBA, ADLERSTEIN
JACOBA, ADLERS ANDREW G. GOMDON
BRIAN S. GRIEVE
UDICHOLCALA BROOMBRIDGE
BRUCE A. GUTENPLAN
MELINDA HAAG\*
ALAN S. HALPERIN
CLAUDIA HAMMERMAN
IAN M. HAZLETT
BRIAN S. HERMANN
JOSHUA HARMAN
MARRETT R. HOFFMAN
MARRETT R. HOFFMAN
MARRETT R. HOFFMAN
HARRETT R. HOFFMAN
HARRETT R. HOFFMAN
HARRETT R. HOFFMAN
HARRETT R. HOFFMAN
MARRETT R. HOFFMAN
HARRETT R. HO

ALEXIA D. KORBERG
ALANIW, KORNBERG
ALANIW, KORNBERG
ALANIEL J. KRAMER
BRIAN KRAUSE
CAITH KUSHNER
KAISA KUUSK
DAVID K. LAKHDHIR
GREGORY F. LAUFER
BRIAN C. LAVIN
XIAOYU GEKEY\*
LORETTA E. LYNCH
JEFFREY D. MARELL
MARCO V. MASOTTI
DAVID W. MAYO
ELIZABETH R. MCCOLM
JEAN M. MCLOUGHLIN
ALVARO MEMBEILLER
ALARO S. O'BRIEN
BRAD R. O'KUN
BRAD R DNG YU RACEY A. ZACCONE AURIE M. ZEITZER EN ZIMAN ROBERT ZOCHOWSKI, JR.

NOT ADMITTED TO THE NEW YORK BAI

Re: SEC v. Ripple Labs, Inc. et al., No. 20-cv-10832 (AT) (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Torres:

We write on behalf of Defendants Ripple Labs Inc. ("Ripple") and Christian A. Larsen in response to the Court's February 3, 2022 Order (the "Order"). As we explain below, in light of the Order: (1) Ripple and Mr. Larsen do not oppose unsealing the Legal Memos in their entirety (ECF Nos. 108-1, 108-2, 130-1, 130-2); (2) Ripple and Mr. Larsen are submitting with this letter a version of Exhibit E (ECF No. 179-3) with one limited redaction for the public docket—a redaction to which the SEC does not object—and respectfully request that the unredacted Exhibit E remain under seal; (3) Ripple does not oppose the unsealing in full of the SEC Memo (ECF No. 131) and Ripple's Opposition (ECF No. 172); and (4) Mr. Larsen does not oppose the unsealing in full of the Larsen Memo (ECF No. 106), the SEC Opposition (ECF No. 183), and the Larsen Reply (ECF No. 222).

First, Ripple and Mr. Larsen are not proposing redactions to the Legal Memos (ECF Nos. 108-1, 108-2, 130-1, 130-2). Mr. Larsen believes that, if any part of

the Legal Memos is unsealed, then both documents should be unsealed in their entirety so that the public can review the SEC's characterizations of those documents in their full context. For the avoidance of doubt, Ripple's and Mr. Larsen's position on sealing is not intended to be a waiver of any evidentiary privilege or protection from disclosure with respect to the subject matter of the Legal Memos. We note that the SEC previously filed proposed redacted versions of these documents (see ECF Nos. 178, 178-1, 178-2); however, after conferring with the SEC, we understand that the SEC agrees to the unsealing of the Legal Memos in their entirety and is not requesting redactions.

Next, Ripple and Mr. Larsen are proposing one limited redaction to Exhibit E (ECF No. 179-3) to maintain the privacy of one of Ripple's equity investors. Exhibit E references the investor's name only in passing, and the investor's identity is not relevant to any of the issues before the Court. Moreover, Ripple's equity investors have invested in the company with the expectation that their identities would remain confidential. Accordingly, redacting the investor's name from the public docket is appropriate. See, e.g., Whittaker v. MHR Fund Mgmt. LLC, No. 20-cv-7599, 2021 WL 4441524, at \*2, \*3 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 28, 2021) (Torres, J.) (upholding redaction of investor names to protect "the privacy interests of innocent third parties," and explaining that "courts in this Circuit have expressly recognized privacy interests in keeping investor names . . . confidential," "particularly where there is no evidence that the identities of investors directly affect the adjudication" (quotation marks omitted)). A copy of Exhibit E with the proposed redaction is attached to this letter. We have conferred with the SEC, and it does not object to the proposed redaction.

Finally, Ripple does not oppose the unsealing in their entirety of the SEC Memo (ECF No. 131) and the Ripple Opposition (ECF No. 172), and Mr. Larsen similarly does not oppose the unsealing in their entirety of the Larsen Memo (ECF No. 106), the SEC Opposition (ECF No. 183), or the Larsen Reply (ECF No. 222). The only redacted passages in these documents concern material drawn from the Legal Memos and Exhibit E, and they do not reference the name of the investor that Ripple and Mr. Larsen propose to redact from Exhibit E.

Dated: February 17, 2022 New York, NY Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Martin Flumenbaum
Martin Flumenbaum
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &
GARRISON LLP
Counsel for Defendant Christian A. Larsen

/s/ Andrew J. Ceresney
Andrew J. Ceresney
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
Counsel for Defendant Ripple Labs, Inc.

cc: All Counsel (via ECF)

## Exhibit E (Redacted)

From: Chris Larsen <chris@ripple.com>
Sent: Monday, May 26, 2014 1:43 PM

To: Ryan Fugger
Subject: Re: Jesse

Founders were issuers rather than RL so RL could be a user not an issuer. Founders assumed risk of bring issuers which persists today. This protected RL and was a key pt in our first convertible note w investors like 20% was comp for founders personally assuming this risk.

Also, analysis from Perkins Coie was that investors and employees could not receive XRP, could risk SEC designation of a security. Only founders could - Jed, Arthur and me.

Further - post march 2013 FinCEN guidance - the RL designation as a user rather than issuer is and continues to be key reducing risk to RL holders like Jesse - increasing risk to founders/ issuers.

Further, the ledger was reset hundreds of times leading up to public launch in dec 2012 - nonetheless, the ledger simply reflects property ownership of XRP which was founders 20%, RL 80%. Hope that helps.

Chris

Chris Larsen | CEO Ripple Labs, Inc. chris@ripple.com | ripple.com

> On May 26, 2014, at 12:50 PM, Ryan Fugger <arv@ryanfugger.com> wrote:

>

> So, just to get it straight -- what was the reason the founders kept 20% instead of giving it all to RL?