> -----Original Message-----
> From: Hinman, William
> Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2018 4:08 PM
> To: Minton, Shira
> Subject: AdHoc mtg in London
>
> Shira
> Rob and I have been invited to attend a meeting of the London arm of the Ad Hoc group of securities law firms when we are over there for the PLI conference. Assuming we pay our share of any meal- not sure if there will be dinner- are you okay with us attending? It's a good way for us to educate and learn from the private bar. Jay and I will likely visit with the NYC arm of the group this spring.
>
> Thanks
> Bill
>
>
>
> Sent from my iPhone

> On Jan 24, 2018, at 4:10 PM, Minton, Shira <(b) (6) > wrote:
>
> [redacted]

To: Minton, Shira[ ]
From: Hinman, William
Sent: 2018-01-24T16:22:14-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: AdHoc mtg in London
Received: 2018-01-24T16:22:14-05:00

A number of firms other than STB and S&S will be present. Probably about a dozen. (b) (5) [redacted]

Sent from my iPhone

To: Hinman, William[(b) (6)]
From: Minton, Shira
Sent: 2018-01-24T16:30:56-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: AdHoc mtg in London
Received: 2018-01-24T16:30:56-05:00

(b) [redacted]

To: Minton, Shira[
From: Hinman, William
Sent: 2018-01-24T16:32:50-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: AdHoc mtg in London
Received: 2018-01-24T16:32:50-05:00

It's law firms only. His old firm and mine are likely to attend.

Sent from my iPhone

To: Hinman, William[
From: Minton, Shira
Sent: 2018-01-24T16:36:48-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: AdHoc mtg in London
Received: 2018-01-24T16:36:48-05:00

if it will be less than a dozen, please provide the names so I can vet for Rob

To: Minton, Shira[ ]
From: Hinman, William
Sent: 2018-01-24T16:59:57-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: AdHoc mtg in London
Received: 2018-01-24T16:59:58-05:00

Do you need the individuals partners or just the law firms?

Sent from my iPhone

To: Hinman, William[(b) (6)]
Cc: Serrano, Danae [ ]
From: Minton, Shira
Sent: 2018-01-24T17:09:42-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: AdHoc mtg in London
Received: 2018-01-24T17:09:42-05:00

The firms - but Bill, it occurs to us that you have a full financial conflict with your old firm, not just an impartiality one. hence, you should not be having any meetings with your old firm. even group meetings.

To: Minton, Shira[(b) (6)]
Cc: Serrano, Danae [(b) (6)]
From: Hinman, William
Sent: 2018-01-25T09:33:27-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: AdHoc mtg in London
Received: 2018-01-25T09:33:28-05:00

Cleary, Cravath, Davis Polk, Shearman, Simpson and Sullivan.
Let's talk live about my restrictions. Thanks

To: Hinman, William[(b) (6)]
Cc: Serrano, Danae[(b) (6)]
From: Minton, Shira
Sent: 2018-01-25T09:44:41-05:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: RE: AdHoc mtg in London
Received: 2018-01-25T09:44:41-05:00

Happy to talk live - my outlook is up to date if you want to schedule something. However, the analysis is the same for Simpson as it's always been - you have a bar under the criminal financial conflict with Simpson because you have an ongoing financial interest in the firm. meeting with them while having such a conflict is not permitted. As we discussed during your briefing - even calls with them are not permitted.

It's also a serious optics issue - you can't be seen to be granting special access to a firm you have a financial interest in. this meeting is small enough to raise concerns.

As for Rob - I will coordinate with him and his staff on whether this presents any conflicts for him.