MR. TENREIRO: Objection, he's asked and answered that many times. He looked at public -- go ahead.

- A. Yeah. Again, I think the staff did, you know, the kinds of things we've already talked about with the people, the calls we had talking to market participants, reading materials that are available on GitHub, Reddit, reading the white papers around that. I mean, there's a whole slew of things.
  - Q. What white papers?

- A. There are white papers around Ethereum that were put out at the time of its formation, how it would work, how the governance works, things of that sort.
- Q. You concluded, did you not, that at the time that it originally sold in 2014 that it violated the securities laws, did you not?

MR. TENREIRO: Don't answer that. I'm instructing the witness not to answer that question unless you're asking him for what he stated in the speech, in which case it speaks for itself. But do

not discuss internal deliberations with your staff about your staff's conclusion as to the application of the securities laws to the 2014 transactions.

MR. FLUMENBAUM: Didn't you state in your speech that Ethereum or Ether may have violated the securities laws when it first originally sold its coins?

- A. I thought the speech said we were not talking about that issue. We were talking about how Ethereum was transacted at that time, the time of the speech, as we see it being offered at that time.
- Q. Right, but you weren't looking back and saying that it may have violated the law back in 2014?

MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.

- A. Again, I think this -- I would let the speech speak for itself on that topic. I think we just said we're not talking about that in this speech. We're talking about how we see it being offered today.
  - Q. Were you -- did you -- were you aware that

```
360
 1
      the statute of limitations still applied in 2018
      for acts that occurred in 2014?
 2
               MR. TENREIRO: Objection to form.
 3
           A. I didn't analyze the statute of
 4
      limitations on that.
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Let's take a short break.
 6
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 6:45.
 7
                         (A short break was had.)
 8
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at
 9
10
      7:00.
     BY MR. FLUMENBAUM:
11
12
           Q. Just a few more questions.
13
          A. Perfect.
           Q. Take a look at your speech, Exhibit 10.
14
           A. Yes.
15
           Q. Take a look at page 3 and take a look at
17
      the next-to-last paragraph.
           A. Yes.
18
           Q. "So when I look at Bitcoin today"; do you
19
20
      see that?
21
           A. Right. Yes.
22
           Q. And take a look at the third sentence.
```

361 1 You state "And putting aside the fundraising that accompanied the creation of Ether." 2 3 A. Right. Q. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What did you mean when you said "putting 6 aside the fundraising that accompanied the creation 7 of Ether"? 8 A. I was not speaking to whether that was a 9 10 transaction in compliance with the securities laws. Q. And what was your understanding as to 11 12 whether or not the fundraising that accompanied the 13 creation of Ether violated or did not violate the securities laws? 14 MR. TENREIRO: I'm just going to caution 15 you not to disclose deliberations, but if you had 16 an independent understanding, you can give that. 17 A. My understanding is that's really based on 18 discussions -- deliberations with the staff. So 19 20 I'm not sure I can answer that. 21 Q. And who did you have deliberations with? A. About this -- that particular topic? 22

362 1 O. Yeah. It would have been the same folks that 2 were involved in the speech. 3 O. What was the factual basis for that 4 statement? What did you know happened? A. The factual basis for the statement in the 6 7 speech or --8 Q. Yeah, for the statement in the speech. A. Well, I wasn't talking about it. I was 9 10 just saying I'm putting that aside. 11 Q. Well, you put it aside because you knew 12 something about it, correct? MR. TENREIRO: Objection to form. 13 A. Actually I didn't want to get into that 14 because I hadn't really studied that. So I'm 15 putting that aside -- what I'm saying in the speech 16 is I'm putting that aside, not going there is what 17 18 I was saying. Q. Did you have an understanding that Ether 19 20 in connection with the fundraising that accompanied the creation of Ether had violated the securities 22 laws?

363 1 MR. TENREIRO: Just answer yes or no. MS. KELLY: Objection to form. 2 A. Did I have a view on that? 3 O. Yes. 4 A. Yes, but not a view that was informed by a complete analysis of that question. I never had to 6 7 answer that question for anyone at the SEC. 8 Q. But am I correct that you deliberately wanted the market, the market participants that you 9 10 were talking to, to understand that you were not stating that their initial fundraising was in 11 12 compliance with the securities laws? 13 A. Yeah. I said I'm putting that issue aside. 14 Q. But you did that for a purpose, correct? 15 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. 16 17 A. What was the reason for phrasing it that way? I didn't want people to misunderstand the 18 19 speech as covering anything more than how we saw 20 Ether being offered at the time of the speech. 21 Q. And were you making a decision -- a policy 22 decision not to go after Ether for what had

364 1 occurred at the original fundraising? MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. No. 2 Rephrase that because you're really getting into 3 deliberative process. 4 MR. FLUMENBAUM: I'm only focusing on what he said and his own state of mind. 6 7 A. Right. So it's not for me to make that decision whether to, as you said, go after Ether. 8 That's not something I would do as the director of 9 corporation finance. That's an enforcement 10 question or a broader commission question. 11 12 Q. So you -- you were not -- you were not 13 making a decision one way or the other on that issue? 14 MR. TENREIRO: You, Mr. Hinman, I'm going 15 to instruct you to answer to that question. 16 A. Yes, and I'm trying to tell people I'm 17 putting that aside and sharing with you my 18 19 thoughts. I'm putting aside any thoughts I had on 20 the initial fundraising. 21 Q. So were you agreeing with the -- you know, 22 with the view that a digital asset can evolve over

time into a nonsecurity?

- A. You're reading an awful lot into that sentence. I don't think I was specifically trying to convey that point in that sentence.
- Q. Do you have a view as to what my question -- on my question?
- A. A personal view as to whether something that was offered originally as a security may later become an item that's not?
  - O. Correct.
- A. I have a view that something can be offered in a way where it's not a security, where it is a security, and it can evolve to become one or not become one. So what this sentence is really trying to do is talk about as we see Ether being offered today.

So Ether being offered in a different way, in the same way a CD being offered in a different way can become a security, and that's all we're trying to convey here is that the instrument itself may or may not be a security depending on how it's being offered. A CD is not a security under the

366 federal securities laws, but it can be offered --1 Q. Why --2 3 MR. TENREIRO: Wait, wait, wait. You have to let him finish. 4 A. -- but it can be offered in a way it is. That was the Gary Plastic case, as you know. 6 7 Q. Why has the commission not adopted your view that sales of Ether today are not securities? 8 MR. TENREIRO: Objection to form and --9 10 no. I'm going to instruct him not to answer why the commission has or has not done anything to the 11 12 extent he even knows that. So let's move on or try 13 a different question. MR. FLUMENBAUM: Do you know why the 14 commission has not adopted your view that sales of 15 Ether are not securities today? 16 17 MR. TENREIRO: Answer yes or no. A. Let me just clarify the question. 18 sales of Ether today, meaning today whatever 19 20 today's date is, are not or at any particular time? I don't think --22 Q. From June 14th to the -- through your

367 1 tenure as director of finance, why didn't the commission come out and agree with you? 2 A. I don't think the commission was presented 3 with the question. For the commission to take a 4 view it has to have a meeting, you know, if it's done through a rulemaking notice and comment, have 6 a formal vote. The commission has not done that 7 with respect to any particular sale of Ether, as 8 far as I know. I'm not there anymore. So I don't 9 10 know what they're doing now. 11 Q. Well, what about with respect to your statement on Bitcoin? 12 13 A. What about it? 14 MR. TENREIRO: What's the question? Q. Same question as Ether. Why has the 15 commission not adopted your --16 A. Same answer I think. 17 Q. So is it just a question of not enough 18 19 time? 20 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. That's not what he said. 21 22 A. Again, I don't think the issue has

```
368
      presented itself at the commission level.
 1
           Q. Do you -- when was the last time you had a
 2
      conversation with Mr.
 3
           A. I don't remember. It's probably sometime
 4
      in 2018 or '19.
           Q. So did you have any conversations after
 6
 7
      your speech with Mr.
               I don't recall a specific conversation
 8
      with him after that, but possible. I mean --
 9
           Q. Did he call to say thank you?
10
11
               MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.
           A. No, he did not call to say thank you.
12
           Q. Did anyone from
                                          r Ethereum give
13
      you a call and say great speech?
14
           A. Not that I recall.
15
           Q. Mr. Clayton, though, said good speech,
16
      right?
17
           A. Again, I don't have a specific
18
      recollection of Jay saying good speech. My
19
      impression is that he thought it was a good speech,
20
21
      yes.
22
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: That's all I've got.
```

```
369
               MR. TENREIRO: Okay. We can stay on and I
 1
      can ask a few questions.
 2
 3
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: I am specifically going
      to state for the record that if you ask him
 4
      questions you run the risk of giving us the
 5
      opportunity to ask questions again after you, and
 6
 7
      we will take your questions, to the extent it's
      valid, as a breach -- as asking questions that
 8
      invade the deliberative process.
 9
               MR. TENREIRO: Okay. We've discussed this
10
      before. I don't agree, but can I proceed or do you
11
12
      want a break?
13
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: I don't need a break.
14
      Does anybody need a break?
15
                          EXAMINATION
      BY MR. TENREIRO:
16
           Q. Director Hinman, I think today you've been
17
      shown some documents where law firms are presenting
18
      materials to the staff of the division of
19
20
      corporation finance. Generally do you recall today
      seeing some of those documents?
21
22
           A. Yes.
```

Q. When these law firms provide the division of corporation finance, you know, what -- do you have an understanding as to why they're taking legal positions as to certain issues in front of the division?

MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form.

- A. Generally I think they are advocating for a client's position with respect to the issues.
- Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding as to whether, generally speaking -- actually, do you have an understanding as to whether some of the documents presented to you were by attorneys that had been hired by clients to present positions to you or whether they were instead sort of unbiased observers of the market?

MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form on numerous grounds.

- A. So I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question? I kind of lost it.
- Q. Do you have an understanding as to whether some of the documents that were presented to you were by attorneys that were acting on behalf of

```
371
      clients that hired them or just instead as
 1
      objective observers of the market?
 2
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form.
 3
               MR. FIGEL: Objection.
 4
           A. Generally people were -- if they were
 5
      counsel, they had been hired by someone to present
 6
      their views on their behalf.
 7
 8
           Q. Thank you.
               Did you attend meetings while you were
 9
      director of the division of corporation finance
10
      with representatives of Ripple in connection with
11
12
      the SEC's staff's investigation of Ripple?
13
           A. Yes.
           Q. Approximately how many meetings?
14
           A. Probably four, maybe a few phone calls as
15
      well.
16
           Q. Where were those meetings?
17
           A. Either in the SEC's offices or on Zoom
18
19
      calls.
20
           Q. Was Mr. Garlinghouse at some of those
21
      meetings?
22
           A. I think he may have been at one or two of
```

372 1 the early meetings. Q. What about Andrew Ceresney, do you know 2 who that is? Do you know who Andrew Ceresney is? 3 A. I do. O. Who is that in connection with this case? A. I believe he was Ripple's counsel and 6 7 formerly the director of the division of enforcement at the SEC. 8 O. What about Meredith Cross? A. She's -- she attended some of these 10 meetings and is a partner at WilmerHale and 11 12 formerly held the same position I formerly hold, 13 director of the division of corporation finance. Q. Did you have meetings with either 14 Ms. Cross or Mr. Ceresney about the SEC staff's 15 investigation of Ripple's conduct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Have you ever at any time told any 18 Ripple's representatives that you did not think 19 their offers and sales of XRP were securities 20 transactions? 21 22 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form, and

```
373
 1
      I'm specifically stating that if you enlisted an
      answer, you're violating the process.
 2
               MR. TENREIRO: No. This is external.
 3
      External statements -- just you --
 4
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: We're going to ask for
      notes of his meetings. You're opening the door to
 6
 7
      things that you've claimed privilege on.
 8
               MR. TENREIRO: You've asked for those
      notes already.
 9
10
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: We're going to get them
      this time. Go ahead.
11
12
               MR. TENREIRO: Have you ever, Mr. Hinman,
13
      at any time told any Ripple's representatives that
      you did not think their offers and sales of XRP
14
      were securities transactions?
15
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form.
16
17
      You may answer.
18
           A. No.
           Q. Have you ever told any Ripple
19
20
      representative that the SEC itself or any of its
      commissioners did not think that Ripple's offers
21
22
      and sales of XRP were securities transactions?
```

374 1 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form. 2 A. No. Q. Did you ever witness anyone at the SEC 3 tell any such thing to any Ripple representative 4 while you worked at the SEC? 6 MR. FIGEL: Objection. 7 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form. A. No. 8 Q. What did you tell Ripple's representatives 9 at these meetings about sort of your views? And 10 only communicate what you told them externally. 11 12 A. A number of things. So that's a broad 13 topic, but when Meredith Cross came in with Andrew, one of the purposes of that meeting as I understood 14 it was to try to bring the company into compliance 15 and to examine ways that that could happen. So one 16 of the things I told them was the first thing you 17 18 need to do and I'm kind of surprised you haven't 19 done it already is stop selling XRP on an 20 unregistered basis publicly. We also told them that we were concerned 21 22 about the asymmetries between what they -- what the

375 1 people at Ripple knew about the prospects for XRP and what the market knew, and we explored with them 2 the idea of Ripple becoming a public company to 3 help reduce those asymmetries. 4 THE REPORTER: Did you say "help reduce"? THE WITNESS: Help reduce those 6 7 asymmetries. 8 Q. Anything else you recall generally that you told -- that you told them at these meetings? 9 10 A. Yeah. I think I expressed concerns about did investors have all the information that they 11 12 should have about the prospects and did they get 13 that on a timely basis. I expressed the concern 14 about the lack of transparency. Q. These meetings, can you give us the time 15 frame for them approximately? 16 A. I think they were the last couple of 17 years. It was a pretty long process. 18 I don't 19 remember exactly when Meredith first got involved. 20 You know, Meredith as a capital markets lawyer would be someone who would understand the 21 22 undertakings to become a public company, to provide

376 1 the disclosure, to bring the sales of XRP into compliance. 2 Q. But in terms of the years, I think you 3 said the last few years? Are you talking about the 4 last few years of your tenure there? 5 A. Yes. I'm sorry. Yeah. So that would 6 7 have been probably -- I think some of these 8 meetings began in 2018 and some early meetings with XRP representatives, general meetings, and then 9 10 meetings trying to figure out how XRP could come into compliance were later, probably 2020. 11 12 Q. Okay. 13 Now, setting aside meetings with other members of any government, did you ever tell any 14 person outside of the SEC that you did not view 15 Ripple sales of XRP as securities transactions? So 16 17 I'm talking about third parties only. 18 A. No. MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection. 19 20 Q. Did you ever witness any person from the SEC tell any person in the, again, outside world, 21 22 not U.S. Government or other governments, that the

```
377
 1
      SEC did not view Ripple's sales of XRP as
      securities transactions?
 2
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form.
 3
           A. No.
 4
           Q. Did you have a meeting with Chair Clayton
      and representatives of Ripple sometime in 2018?
 6
 7
           A. I believe they came in to meet with the
      chairman, yes.
 8
           Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Clayton tell anyone
 9
10
      at Ripple that Mr. Clayton did not view Ripple's
      transactions as securities transactions?
11
12
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form.
13
           A. No.
           Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Clayton give any
14
      assurances to anyone at Ripple that the SEC would
15
      determine that Ripple's sales were not securities
16
      transactions?
17
18
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form.
           A. No.
19
20
               MR. TENREIRO: I'm going to mark -- I
21
      guess Exhibit 41 will be the next one?
22
               THE REPORTER: Yes.
```

```
378
                         (Hinman Exhibit 41 was marked
 1
                          for identification.)
 2
      BY MR. TENREIRO:
 3
           Q. For the record, this is a memorandum SEC-
 4
      LIT-E-MAILS-456558. The date is August 20, 2018.
           A. Okay.
 6
 7
           Q. Mr. Hinman, do you see this memorandum
      says it's written from Sean Memon?
 8
           A. Yes, I see that.
 9
               Who is that in 20 -- in August 2018?
10
           A. Deputy chief of staff to the chairman.
11
12
           Q. Okay. Have you seen this memorandum
      before?
13
           A. You showed them to me.
14
15
           Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to read
      it now?
16
17
           A. Yes.
           Q. Okay. Do you -- are the statements in
18
      this memorandum consistent with whatever
19
20
      recollection you might have -- actually, do you see
      that it says here that you attended a meeting on
21
22
      August 20, 2018 with representatives from Ripple?
```

```
379
 1
      Do you see that part?
           A. Yes.
 2
 3
           Q. Do you have any reason to doubt that that
      is true?
 4
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form.
           A. No.
 6
 7
           Q. Having read the document, is whatever
      stated here consistent with whatever recollection
 8
      you might have about what occurred at this meeting?
 9
10
               MR. FIGEL: Objection.
           A. Let me finish reading.
11
12
               It's consistent.
13
           Q. Okay. And so just to be -- just to wrap
      up on the prior point, setting aside this meeting
14
      in this document, Director Hinman, I just want to
15
      be sure I understood you. You at some point told
16
      Andrew Ceresney in his capacity as Ripple's
17
      attorney that you thought the XRP transactions
18
19
      might be problematic under the securities laws; is
20
      that correct?
21
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form.
22
      It's inconsistent with his testimony.
```

380 1 MR. TENREIRO: Did you ever tell Mr. Ceresney in his capacity as Ripple's attorney 2 that you thought that their transactions in XRP 3 might, you know, have an issue under the securities 4 laws? 5 6 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form. 7 MR. FIGEL: The leading is really inappropriate with the commission witness. 8 MR. TENREIRO: Okay. Can you answer, 9 10 please. A. Basically my answer would be what I 11 12 told -- what I remember telling Mr. Ceresney and 13 Meredith Cross about my views on XRP, that I viewed 14 it as a security and I viewed the continuing offerings -- periodic offerings of XRP in a way 15 where they were not restricted in the way a 16 securities offering would be restricted and 17 18 therefore exempt or they weren't registered as a 19 problem under the securities laws. If they were 20 interested into coming into compliance they should start by stopping those sales. 21 22 Q. Based on the meetings you attended and

381 1 your conversations with Ripple's representatives, did you have any reason to believe that Ripple's 2 representatives did not understand the securities 3 laws principles that might apply to their conduct? 4 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Objection as to form. A. I had no reason to believe they didn't 6 7 understand it. Meredith was a very accomplished securities lawyer. 8 MR. TENREIRO: I don't have anything else. 9 10 Thank you. 11 FURTHER EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. FLUMENBAUM: 13 Q. Meredith Cross occupied your position as head of corp fin? 14 15 A. She did. Q. And she -- she said to you that in her 16 view XRP was not a security, correct? 17 A. I don't think she ever said that to me. 18 Q. She never said that to you? 19 20 A. I don't recall her saying that, no. 21 Q. Mr. Ceresney was head of enforcement at 22 the SEC?

382 1 A. Yes. Q. And did he say to you that XRP was a 2 3 currency and not a security? A. I think he tried to make that argument, 4 5 yes. Q. He said that to you many times, correct? 6 A. I don't remember him saying it many times. 7 You know, I think he was saying it could be viewed 8 as a currency. I think he also understood the 9 issues with it being looked at -- excuse me -- it 10 could be looked at as a currency. I think he also 11 12 understood why we felt it was a security. 13 Q. Did he explain to you why he believed that XRP was very similar to Bitcoin and Ether? 14 15 A. He explained, yes, why he thought it could be looked at that way, yes, in his view. 16 Q. And did Ms. Cross explain to you that in 17 her view XRP also could look very similar to Ether 18 19 or Bitcoin? 20 A. I don't think she did, no. Q. How many times did you meet with 21 22 Ms. Cross?

383 A. I think twice. 1 2 MR. TENREIRO: You're talking about in connection with this case? 3 O. In connection with this case? 4 A. Probably a meeting and a phone call or 6 two. Maybe two phone calls. 7 Q. And the first meeting was in 2019? A. I believe so. 8 9 O. Was Mr. there at the same time? I don't know who he is. 10 11 O. You don't know who he is. Who else was present in your meeting with Ms. Cross? 12 I do know who he is. 13 A. Sorry. was there, yes. 14 was there. Who else was there? 15 Q. Sorry. 16 A. Q. Who else was there? 17 A. I think there may have been another person 18 from their legal group and there might have been an 19 associate from WilmerHale that works with Meredith. 20 21 I don't know if Mr. Ceresney had others with him. 22 Q. And who was there on behalf of the SEC

384 other than yourself? 1 A. I think it was probably Val Szczepanik, 2 probably Michael Seaman, probably Amy Starr. 3 Q. Is there a memo such as Exhibit 41 with 4 respect to that meeting? 6 A. Sorry. Is there a phone under there? 7 Sorry. O. Is there a memo such as Exhibit 41 with 8 respect to that meeting? 9 A. No. 10 11 Q. Did any --12 A. Well, I shouldn't say that. Not that I 13 know of. I think some enforcement personnel were there and they may have done a memo, but I don't 14 15 know. Q. Did you take any notes at that meeting? 16 A. I don't think I did, no. 17 Q. Did anybody take notes at that meeting on 18 behalf of the SEC? 19 20 A. Possibly. O. Who? 21 22 A. I don't know who in that group would have

```
385
 1
      taken the notes.
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: We would call for any
 2
      notes that the SEC has with respect to that
 3
      meeting.
 4
               MR. TENREIRO: Yeah. We'll call for
      Debevoise's notes too.
 6
 7
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: You raised -- you raised
      this issue.
 8
               MR. TENREIRO: I note your request, Marty.
 9
10
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Okay.
      BY MR. FLUMENBAUM:
11
12
           Q. Mr. Hinman, wasn't the purpose of that
13
      meeting in 2019 to see if there could be a
      settlement of the ongoing investigation? Wasn't
14
      that the underlying purpose for that meeting?
15
           A. I think it was to try to bring the company
16
      into compliance and that would have a good effect
17
      on the enforcement action.
18
           Q. It was an attempt to find out what the SEC
19
20
      was looking for in order to resolve the ongoing
      investigation, correct?
21
22
           A. That may be how your clients viewed it. I
```

viewed it as trying to bring them into compliance.

- Q. And did you also -- you met once with Ms. Cross and then you had a phone call. Who was on that phone call with you?
- A. I don't have a specific recollection of who was on the call other than -- there was a meeting with the people I mentioned and then I think there was a follow-up call I think on Zoom with probably the same people, but, you know, one or two differences possibly.
- Q. And were you aware that Ripple disagreed with you in terms of the issue of information asymmetries?

MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.

- A. No. Actually I don't think that was the case. I think they acknowledged that that was an issue and they were exploring the idea of becoming a public company to deal with that, which I think they still are doing.
- Q. And that was something that you encouraged, correct?
- A. That's right.

387 1 Q. And were you aware that they published a market watch document regularly? 2 MR. TENREIRO: Let's just focus on the 3 conversations you might have had with them. 4 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Are you aware of that? A. I'm not aware of them doing some -- I 6 7 don't know what you mean by market watch. So I'm not aware of that, no. 8 Q. Were you -- were you aware of public 9 10 statements that Ripple made with respect to its ownership of XRP? 11 12 A. I was aware that they had made a number of 13 public statements about XRP, yes. Q. And did you ever interview any investors 14 who were -- who had bought XRP in the secondary 15 market? 16 A. I did not. 17 Q. Did any of your staff ever interview any 18 investors who bought XRP in the secondary market? 19 20 A. I think they may have --MR. TENREIRO: Just object to form. 21 22 Sorry.

A. I think they may have talked to market participants, people who purchased XRP.

Q. And am I correct that the investors that you talked to did not have any complaints about info -- information asymmetries, correct?

MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. He already said he didn't talk to investors, Marty. I'm going to instruct him not to disclose whatever the staff might have conveyed to him.

MR. FLUMENBAUM: You raised this issue.

MR. TENREIRO: And I'm instructing him not to answer about anything that the staff has disclosed to him in the context of the deliberative process.

MR. FLUMENBAUM: Did the staff tell you that the people they talked to were not concerned about market --

- A. No, they did not tell me that.
- Q. -- asymmetries?
- A. No, they did not. Just the opposite.
- Q. Okay. What did the staff tell you?

```
389
 1
      him answer that. There's work product here and
      we've talked about external --
 2
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: You raised the issue.
 3
               MR. TENREIRO: I'm instructing him not to
 4
 5
      answer.
 6
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Okay.
 7
               Did you ever meet with a lawyer by the
      name of Deaton?
 8
           A. I don't know. Give me the full name.
 9
           O. I think it's Robert Deaton.
10
           A. I don't remember that.
11
12
           Q. John Deaton? Do you know he's tried to
      intervene in this case?
13
           A. No, I did not know about him.
14
           Q. Okay. And do you know he represents a
15
      group of XRP investors?
16
           A. No, I do not.
17
               MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.
18
           Q. Did anyone at the SEC ever interview
19
20
      Mr. Deaton or his group of investors?
21
               MR. TENREIRO: Marty, you're going beyond
22
      the scope of what I asked him.
```

```
390
 1
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: I don't believe so.
               MR. TENREIRO: Go ahead, answer. Well, if
 2
 3
      you can.
               THE WITNESS: Ask me the question again.
 4
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Can you repeat it,
 5
      please.
 6
 7
                         (Record read as requested.)
           A. No.
 8
           Q. And --
 9
10
           A. Not that I'm aware of.
           Q. Did you ever -- did the staff tell you
11
12
      that outside investors complained about lack of
13
      transparency?
               MS. KELLY: Object to form.
14
           A. I don't believe the staff told me that. I
15
      think I read articles about people being upset
16
      about not knowing the full set of arrangements that
17
18
      the company had with Western Union.
19
           Q. What articles did you read?
20
           A. Various articles, you know, in the
21
      financial press about Western Union arrangements
22
      the company may have had.
```

391 Q. So anything else, any other specific 1 articles that troubled you in terms of 2 3 transparency? A. I don't remember articles on that point. Q. So that's the only one you remember was Western Union? And what year did that occur? 6 A. I think that was probably in 2018, but I'm 7 not certain. 8 Q. And was there disclosure of Western Union information after 2018? 10 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. 11 12 A. I don't know what disclosure was made or 13 under what circumstances. Q. Now, you said you met with Ms. Cross 14 twice -- or met once and a telephone call once, 15 correct? 16 A. That's the best of my recollection. 17 Q. Right. And when was the last time that 18 you talked to her about this case? 19 20 A. I think it may have actually been in 2020, 21 mid 2020 possibly. 22 Q. Wasn't -- isn't it a fact that you never

392 met with her about this case before 2019? 1 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form, asked and 2 3 answered. A. Yeah. I said I thought we started meeting 4 with the company in 2018 and that Meredith, when she first showed up, it may have been 2019. 6 7 Q. And you've met -- you met with Mr. Ceresney more than once? 8 A. I believe he was at the meetings with 9 10 Meredith and potentially some additional meetings. Q. Did you ever meet with Mr. Ceresney 11 without Meredith? 12 13 A. I think at the -- toward the end of the meetings that the SEC was having I don't remember 14 her participating. 15 Q. Did -- you retired -- you resigned from 16 the SEC effective December 11, 2020; is that 17 correct? 18 A. Yes. 19 20 Q. And am I correct that you participated in conversations about Ripple after that date? 21 22 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.

- A. Not that I recall. Oh, there may have been -- I was resigning on the 10th but on the payroll I think through the 17th and I think I got a call about the case while I was still formally employed but I was not going -- you know, not reporting into the office.
- Q. Didn't you participate in a meeting after December 10th, after December 11th?
  - A. Possibly.

- Q. And with whom did you participate?
- A. I don't recall the meeting that well.

  There may have been one last attempt by the company to work out a structure here.
  - Q. Did you meet with the company after

    December 10th or 11th or with Mr. Clayton after

    December 10th or 11th?
  - A. I don't remember meeting with Jay after that time.
  - Q. Did you meet with any member of the SEC staff after that time with respect to Ripple?
- A. Well, when you say "meet," I don't think we were physically meeting, but, you know, Zoom

- calls, there may have been a Zoom call about Ripple after the 10th and before I was completely off the SEC payroll.
- Q. Well, you know the action was brought on the 21st of December?
  - A. Right.

- Q. And when was the last time that you participated in any way in connection with the decision to bring that action?
- A. It would have been in that week between not going to the office or participating in meetings of the staff and having my daily meeting with them and the time I was off the payroll.

  There were a number of matters not just related to Ripple that, you know, over that period of time even though I was not working, I suppose in effect I was on vacation but still on the payroll, where I, you know, would answer questions that people had about my transition.
- Q. In your affidavit to the Court you used the December 10th or 11th date as your last date at the SEC.

Oaasel 1200cvvl00832AATSSIN DiDoument6847523 Fifteel 00951/36223 Pitage 896 of \$67 395 1 A. Okay. That may be wrong. Q. Did -- did you -- were you aware that 2 former Commissioner Grundfest had submitted a 3 letter to the SEC? 4 A. Yes. 6 Q. And that was after you had retired from the SEC, was it not? 7 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. We're way 8 beyond what I talked to him about. 9 A. Again, I don't know when he submitted a 10 -- now that you mention his 11 letter. I remember name, I remember coming to some of these 12 meetings. So whether he put the letter in before 13 or after the 10th or the 17th or the official last 14 date... 15 Q. Did you see the letter that Mr. Grundfest 16 had I think it was the weekend before the decision 17 to bring suit against Ripple? 18 A. I don't remember a letter that late in the 19 being an advocate for the 20 process. I remember 21 company before then. 22 Q. Do you remember receiving -- reading that

396 letter before the decision to bring -- a letter in 1 late December from Mr. Grundfest? Didn't you read 2 that letter? 3 MR. TENREIRO: Objection to form, asked and answered. 6 A. Again, I don't remember the letter per se. I do remember being an advocate and submitting 7 things on behalf of the company, but when in this 8 processing he did that I don't have a clear recollection. 10 Q. My question was do you recall reading a 11 letter from Mr. Grundfest, you know, within the 12 week prior to December 21st? 13 MR. TENREIRO: Objection, asked and 14 15 answered three times. A. Do I remember receiving a letter --16 Q. Or reading a letter from Grundfest to the 17 commissioners. 18 A. No. I don't remember reading a letter 19 20 from him to the commissioners, no. 21 MR. FLUMENBAUM: Should we take a short 22 break before we decide if there's anything more?

```
397
 1
               MR SOLOMON: I'm happy to ask a few
      questions if that works.
 2
 3
               MR. FLUMENBAUM: Okay. Great.
               MR. SOLOMON: Are you okay with that
 4
      break?
 5
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 7:36.
 6
 7
                         (A short break was had.)
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at
 8
      7:38.
 9
10
                          EXAMINATION
      BY MR. SOLOMON:
11
12
           Q. Thank you for your indulgence. I'll try
13
      to be very brief. I know we're all trying to get
      out of here tonight.
14
15
               Mr. Hinman, you were asked a series of
      questions by Mr. Tenreiro about legal advice you
16
      may have provided about whether XRP is a security.
17
      Do you recall those questions?
18
               MR. TENREIRO: Objection to form.
19
20
               MS. KELLY: Objection to form.
           A. I don't remember him asking about legal
21
22
      advice. I remember him asking about conversations.
```

- Q. Do you remember him asking you whether you told anybody that your view was XRP is not a security? Do you remember that?
- A. I remember him asking me what did I say to the folks at XRP.
- Q. Do you remember him asking you whether you overheard other people telling anybody from Ripple that XRP was not a security. Do you remember those questions?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And do you remember your answer was that no, you don't recall that?
- A. I don't remember anyone saying that, right.
  - Q. Okay. And I think earlier in your testimony you made clear that the division of corporation finance is not in the business of giving legal advice; is that correct?
- 19 A. That's right.
  - Q. And that contradicts obviously what's on the Website which says that the division of corporation finance, in fact, does give legal

```
399
      advice?
 1
 2
               MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.
           A. So I don't think what the division does is
 3
      provide legal advice in the same way a lawyer
 4
      advises a client.
           Q. Okay. Do you recall ever telling anybody
 6
      associated with Ether or Ethereum or
                                                     that
 7
      you do not believe that Ether is a security?
 8
              MR. TENREIRO: You've asked and answered
      this. Move on. We're stopping this. This is
10
11
      beyond what I talked about. Move on.
12
              MR. SOLOMON: You can answer the question.
13
              MR. TENREIRO: Move on, Matt.
              MR. SOLOMON: You're instructing him not
14
15
      to answer that question?
               MR. TENREIRO: Yes. You're over your
16
      seven hours, you're beyond what I talked to him
17
      about. Move on.
18
              MR. SOLOMON: Did you ever tell anybody
19
      associated with Bitcoin that Bitcoin was not a
20
21
      security, yes or no?
22
           A. Not that I recall.
```

400 1 Q. Okay. And do you remember anybody else at the commission telling anybody associated in any 2 way with Bitcoin that Bitcoin is not a security? 3 A. Anyone else meaning? 4 Q. Anyone else from the commission. A. In the commission? 6 7 O. Sure. A. I think people discuss their views as to 8 whether Bitcoin --9 MR. TENREIRO: Sorry. Sorry, no. I think 10 he was asking you outside. 11 12 (Simultaneous speaking.) 13 THE REPORTER: Guys. THE WITNESS: What's your question? I'm 14 sorry. 15 Q. Did you or anybody else at the commission 16 during the time you were there ever tell anybody 17 associated in any way with Bitcoin that Bitcoin was 18 19 not or is not a security? 20 MR. TENREIRO: Objection to form. A. We would have discussions with people at 21 22 the CFTC about --

401 1 MR. TENREIRO: Don't discuss what other government --2 Q. I said associated with Bitcoin. Just a 3 yes or no whether you have that recollection. 4 A. No. Q. Okay. All right. 6 7 And you also mentioned some meetings that you attended where, among others, my client Brad 8 Garlinghouse was present; is that right? 9 10 A. Yes. Q. And you said you believe he may have been 11 12 present for one or two of those meetings? 13 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. 14 A. Right. Q. Do you recall whether you had any 15 one-on-one meetings with any of the founders of 16 Ripple at any point in time ever? 17 A. Not that I recall. 18 19 Q. Do you recall having any one-on-one 20 meetings with my client, Brad Garlinghouse, at any point in time in ever? 21 22 A. Not a meeting.

402 Q. Do you recall having a conversation with 1 2 him? A. I saw him at a conference and we exchanged 3 pleasantries. 4 Q. Did you exchange any substantive information with him? 6 7 A. No. Q. Do you recall having any one-on-one 8 meeting or conversation with Christian Larsen -- he 9 is one of the founders, I just want to make sure 10 11 that we're clear on this -- at any point in time? A. No. 12 13 Q. Do you recall having any one-on-one conversation with anybody who worked at Ripple or 14 works at Ripple today, to your knowledge, at any 15 point in time that ever happened? 16 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. 17 A. I think and I have had one-on-one 18 conversations. 19 20 Q. One-on-one substantive conversation, 21 Mr. Hinman. 22 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.

403 A. Possibly, but I don't -- you know, I don't 1 2 have a specific recollection, but nd I spoke directly a few times. 3 Q. Can you remember having a substantive 4 about Ripple's business conversation with 6 or anything germane to the investigation of Ripple at any point in time just one on one? 7 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. 8 A. Again, when visited the SEC he and 9 I would chat a little bit one on one, but I can't 10 remember the substance versus, you know, how in 11 depth we got with those conversations. 12 Q. Okay. So the answer is you don't remember 13 having a substantive one-on-one conversation with 14 at any point in time; is that fair? 15 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. 16 A. I remember having conversations with 17 about some of the topics we've been talking 18 about today. How substantive they are I don't 19 20 recall. 21 Q. Okay. 22 And you also testified that you had a view

```
404
 1
      at some point in 2018 that sales of XRP may violate
      the securities laws. Is that what you testified
 2
 3
      to?
               MR. TENREIRO: Object to form.
           A. That I had a view that their sales
 5
      violated securities laws?
 6
           Q. Yes.
 7
           A. Yes.
 8
           Q. Okay. And just to be clear, in 2018 there
 9
10
      was no preliminary injunctive relief that the
      commission sought against Ripple in relation to any
11
      sales of XRP; is that correct?
12
13
           A. That's right.
           Q. And that's true of 2019 also, correct?
14
           A. Correct.
15
           Q. And that's true of 2020 also, right?
16
           A. That's right.
17
           Q. In fact, the lawsuit wasn't brought
18
      against Ripple in relation to any of its sales of
19
20
      XRP until December 22nd, 2020, correct?
               MR. TENREIRO: Go ahead. Object to form.
21
22
      If you're going to keep asking him facts that are
```

```
405
 1
      in the record, we're going to instruct -- we're
      going to finish the deposition and you can
 2
      call Judge --
 3
               MR. SOLOMON: I'm trying to move through
 4
      this quickly if you'll give me a few more minutes.
 5
 6
               MR. TENREIRO: Matt, it is in the record
      when the lawsuit was filed.
 7
 8
               MR. SOLOMON: Is that correct?
           A. When this lawsuit was filed?
 9
10
           O. Yes.
           A. I've not examined the record. So I don't
11
      know the date --
12
           Q. Was it late December 2020?
13
14
               THE REPORTER: Guys.
           A. I don't -- I don't know. I think it was
15
      December 20, 22nd, somewhere in that range.
16
           Q. Fair enough. And when that lawsuit was
17
      brought there was no preliminary injunctive relief
18
      sought in relation to sales of XRP; is that your
19
20
      recollection?
           A. Not that I'm aware of.
21
22
           Q. No, there was no preliminary injunctive
```

406 1 relief sought at that time? A. I wasn't at the SEC at that time. So not 2 that I was aware of. 3 O. Let's take a look at one document that 4 Mr. Tenreiro showed you which is an August 20, 2018 memorialization of one of the meetings that you 6 testified to where my client Brad Garlinghouse 7 attended. Do you have that document in front of 8 you? 9 10 A. I do. Q. Okay. This looks like a post-speech 11 12 memorandum authored by two individuals, Sean Memon, 13 deputy chief of staff, and Brian Rabbitt, senior policy advisor; is that right? 14 15 A. Yeah. I just want to --Q. Sorry. This is just a one-page document. 16 A. Yes. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. And this memorandum, is there 18 anything about this that strikes you as inaccurate, 19 20 or does this seem to you to be an accurate memorialization, albeit a summary memorialization, 21 22 of the meeting that you attended and testified

407 1 about on August 20th, 2018? A. Yes, it was a short meeting. This seems 2 3 accurate. Q. Okay. And to be clear, by August 20, 2018 4 you had delivered your speech to the marketplace where you gave your view that neither Ether nor 6 Bitcoin are securities; is that correct? 7 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. 8 A. Yes. 9 10 Q. Okay. And in this memo there was a discussion involving my client and Schwartz. Is 11 that David Schwartz? 12 13 A. I believe so. That's what the memo says. Q. Okay. About Ripple's business in 14 technology, that's what the memo writes, right? 15 A. Correct. 16 17 Q. Okay. And it looks like there's also a notation that towards the end of the meeting 18 Garlinghouse briefly noted that Ripple was in 19 20 purgatory due to uncertainty as to whether XRP, the 21 cryptocurrency with which Ripple is associated, is 22 or is not a security. Do you see that sentence?

408 1 A. I do. Q. Okay. So two individuals who attended 2 that meeting were reporting back to an internal 3 memo that at this point in time, August 2018, my 4 client had orally indicated that there was 6 uncertainty around the status of XRP. That's what this memo establishes, right? 7 8 MR. TENREIRO: Objection to form. A. The memo says that. It says what it says. 9 10 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the memo inaccurately reflects what my client said 11 12 during that meeting? 13 A. No. Q. Because Sean Memon and Brian Rabbitt 14 15 wouldn't put something in an internal memo the day it happened if it was not accurate, right? 16 MR. TENREIRO: Object to form. 17 18 A. That's right. I'm sorry. What was your answer? 19 Q. 20 A. That's right. 21 Q. Thank you. 22 Then it goes on, the memo, to say "In